





Declaration on honour on exclusion criteria and selection criteria

The undersigned [insert name and surname of the signatory of this form], with ID or passport number [insert number], representing himself or herself:

Referred to below as 'the person'

A. DECLARATION ON HONOUR ON EXCLUSION CRITERIA

I – SITUATIONS OF EXCLUSION CONCERNING THE PERSON

(1) declares that the person is in one of the following situations:	YES	NO
(a) it is bankrupt, subject to insolvency or winding-up procedures, its assets are being administered by a liquidator or by a court, it is in an arrangement with creditors, its business activities are suspended or it is in any analogous situation arising from a similar procedure provided for under Union or national law;		
(b) it has been established by a final judgement or a final administrative decision that the person is in breach of its obligations relating to the payment of taxes or social security contributions in accordance with the applicable law;		
(c) it has been established by a final judgement or a final administrative decision that the person is guilty of grave professional misconduct by having violated applicable laws or regulations or ethical standards of the profession to which the person belongs, or by having engaged in any wrongful conduct which has an impact on its professional credibility where such conduct denotes wrongful intent or gross negligence, including, in particular, any of the following:		
(i) fraudulently or negligently misrepresenting information required for the verification of the absence of grounds for exclusion or the fulfilment of eligibility or selection criteria or in the performance of a contract or an agreement;		
(ii) entering into agreement with other persons or entities with the aim of distorting competition;		
(iii) violating intellectual property rights;		
(iv) unduly influencing or attempting to unduly influence the decision-making process to obtain Union funds by taking advantage, through misrepresentation, of a conflict of interests involving any financial actors or other persons referred to in Article 61(1) FR;		
(v) attempting to obtain confidential information that may confer upon it undue advantages in the award procedure;		
(vi) incitement to discrimination, hatred or violence against a group of persons or a member of a group or similar activities that are contrary to the values on which the Union is founded enshrined in Article 2 TEU, where such misconduct has an impact on the person's integrity which negatively		

affects or concretely risks affecting the performance of a contract or an agreement;	
(d) it has been established by a final judgment that the person is guilty of any of the following:	
(i) fraud, within the meaning of Article 3 of Directive (EU) 2017/1371 and Article 1 of the Convention on the protection of the European Communities' financial interests, drawn up by the Council Act of 26 July 1995;	
(ii) corruption, as defined in Article 4(2) of Directive (EU) 2017/1371 or active corruption within the meaning of Article 3 of the Convention on the fight against corruption involving officials of the European Communities or officials of Member States of the European Union, drawn up by the Council Act of 26 May 1997, or conduct referred to in Article 2(1) of Council Framework Decision 2003/568/JHA, or corruption as defined in other applicable laws;	
(iii) conduct related to a criminal organisation, as referred to in Article 2 of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA;	
(iv) money laundering or terrorist financing, within the meaning of Article 1(3), (4) and (5) of Directive (EU) 2015/849 of the European Parliament and of the Council;	
(v) terrorist offences or offences related to terrorist activities as defined in Articles 3 to 12 of Directive (EU) 2017/541 of the European Parliament and of the Council, or inciting, aiding, abetting or attempting to commit such offences, as referred to in Article 14 of that Directive;	
(vi) child labour or other offences concerning trafficking in human beings as referred to in Article 2 of Directive 2011/36/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council;	
(e) it has shown significant deficiencies in complying with the main obligations in the performance of a contract or an agreement financed by the Union's budget, which has led to its early termination or to the application of liquidated damages or other contractual penalties, or which has been discovered following checks, audits or investigations by a contracting authority, the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF), the Court of Auditors or the European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO);	
(f) it has been established by a final judgment or final administrative decision that the person has committed an irregularity within the meaning of Article 1(2) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2988/95;	
(g) it has been established by a final judgment or final administrative decision that the person has created an entity in a different jurisdiction with the intent to circumvent fiscal, social or any other legal obligations, including those related to working rights, employment and labour conditions, in the jurisdiction of its registered office, central administration or principal place of business;	
(h) (only for legal persons) it has been established by a final judgment or final administrative decision that the person has been created with the intent referred to in point (g);	
(i) the person has intentionally and without proper justification resisted an investigation, check or audit carried out by the contracting authority or its representative or auditor, OLAF, the EPPO, or the Court of Auditors. It shall be considered that the person resists an investigation, check or audit when it carries out actions with the goal or effect of preventing, hindering or delaying the conduct of any of the activities needed to perform the investigation, check or	

audit. Such actions shall include, in particular, refusing to grant the necessary access to its premises or any other areas used for business purposes, concealing or refusing to disclose information or providing false information.		
(2) declares that, for the situations referred to in points (1) (c)to (1) (i) above, in the absence of a final judgement or a final administrative decision, the person is ¹ :	YES	NO
i. subject to facts established in the context of audits or investigations carried out by the European Public Prosecutor's Office in respect of those Member States participating in enhanced cooperation pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2017/1939, the Court of Auditors, OLAF, or the internal auditor, or any other check, audit or control performed under the responsibility of an authorising officer of an EU institution, of a European office or of an EU agency or body;		
 ii. subject to non-final judgments or non-final administrative decisions which may include disciplinary measures taken by the competent supervisory body responsible for the verification of the application of standards of professional ethics; 		
iii. subject to facts referred to in decisions of entities or persons being entrusted with EU budget implementation tasks;		
iv. subject to information transmitted by Member States implementing Union funds, in particular facts and findings established in the context of a final judgment or final administrative decision at national level as to the presence of the exclusion situations referred to in points (c)(iv) or (d);		
v. subject to decisions of the Commission relating to the infringement of Union competition law or of a national competent authority relating to the infringement of Union or national competition law;		
vi. informed, by any means, that it is subject to an investigation by the European Anti-Fraud office (OLAF): either because it has been given the opportunity to comment on facts concerning it by OLAF, or it has been subject to on-the-spot checks by OLAF in the course of an investigation, or it has been notified of the opening, the closure or of any circumstance related to an investigation of the OLAF concerning it.		

REMEDIAL MEASURES

If the person declares one of the situations of exclusion listed above, it may indicate remedial measures it has taken to remedy the exclusion situation, in order to allow the authorising officer to determine whether such measures are sufficient to demonstrate its reliability. This may include e.g. technical, organisational and personnel measures to prevent further occurrence, compensation of damage or payment of fines or of any taxes or social security contributions.

Without prejudice to the assessment of the authorising officer responsible, the person or entity shall submit remedial measures that have been assessed by an external independent auditor or have been considered sufficient by a decision of a national or Union authority. The relevant documentary evidence, which illustrates the remedial measures taken and their assessment, must be provided in annex to this declaration. Remedial measures do not apply for situations referred in point (1) (d) of this declaration.

¹ The declaration under this point (2) is voluntary and it cannot have adverse legal effect on the economic operator until the conditions of Article 143(1) (a) FR are met.

In case of a procedure with lots the statements in this part B apply to the lot(s) for which the tender is submitted.

The person must immediately inform the contracting authority of any changes in the situations as declared.

The person may be subject to rejection from this procedure and to administrative sanctions (exclusion or financial penalty) if any of the declarations or information provided as a condition for participating in this procedure prove to be false.

Full name:	
Date:	
Signature:	