

CALL UPDATE: FLASH EVALUATION RESULTS

Call: HORIZON-JTI-CLEANH2-2024

Published: 17.01.2024

Deadline: 17.04.2024

Available budget: EUR 113,500,000.00

The results of the evaluation for each topic are as follows:

Topic id: HORIZON-JTI-CLEANH2-2024-...	01-01	01-02	01-03	01-04	01-05	02-01	02-02	02-03	02-04	02-05	03-01	03-02	03-03	03-04	04-01	04-02	05-01	05-02	06-01	06-02	All
Number of proposals submitted (including proposals transferred from or to other calls)	9	12	23	10	3	2	6	2	1	2	3	3	10	2	10	8	6	10	13	16	151
Number of inadmissible or withdrawn proposals	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Number of ineligible proposals	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3	-	3	-	-	1	9
Number of above-threshold proposals	7	8	14	8	2	2	2	1	-	1	3	3	5	2	4	8	2	8	4	4	88
Total budget requested for above-threshold proposals (MEUR)	20,7	31,9	55,0	32,0	20,0	6,0	8,0	5,9	-	8,0	11,9	12,0	25,0	12,0	20,0	31,9	3,0	24,0	77,3	36,0	440,6
Number of proposals retained for funding	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	19
Number of proposals in the reserve list	6	7	13	7	1	1	1	-	-	-	2	2	4	1	3	7	1	7	3	3	69
Funding threshold	14	14.5	14.5	14	14.5	12.5	14.5	11.5	-	13	11	12.5	13.5	10.5	13	14.5	14	14.5	13	13	-
Ranking distribution																					
Number of proposals with scores lower or equal to 15 and higher or equal to 14	2	2	2	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	3	-	-	15
Number of proposals with scores lower than 14 and higher or equal to 13	-	1	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	2	1	1	1	1	14
Number of proposals with scores lower than 13 and higher or equal to 10	5	5	10	6	-	2	1	1	-	-	3	3	4	2	3	4	-	4	3	3	59

Summary of observer report:

The overall quality of the evaluation was excellent and exemplary. It constitutes a state-of-the-art evaluation process with special attention to securing impartiality and consistency between proposals. The exemplary process and highly professional call management secured a reliable outcome of excellent quality.

The procedures were carried out fully in accordance with very high standards of transparency of the horizon programme.

The throughput time of the evaluation from the deadline to the end of the Panel meeting was excellent, and procedures highly efficient and reliable. Meetings were exemplary moderated, running smoothly and effectively. Rapporteurs in general were very experienced and drafted the Consensus Reports well, while quality controllers did an excellent work and further contributed to a high-quality, consistent evaluation process.

Thanks to the excellent material and briefings, the evaluation was carried out efficiently despite many new experts and the high number of proposals. The moderators and the quality controllers facilitated the consensus meetings very good and without expressing opinions on the proposal.

The evaluation in general was impartial, fair and confidential, constituting an international best-practice example in this respect.

We recently informed the applicants about the evaluation results for their proposals.

For questions, please contact: PROJECTS@clean-hydrogen.europa.eu